	21	during the ratings period the company
	22	marketing men can overrule the local
	23	journalist's judgment and summarily kill any
	24	story.
92	25	"Not that it was a bad story," wrote the
	1	marketing chief in this internal memo, "just a
	2	story that wouldn't be broad enough to draw in
	3	a large amount of viewers in one that
	4	demonstrates your brand."
	5	"Don't take offense," nearly two dozen
	6	news directors were told, "We're just trying to
	7	get the best stories for your station to
	8	market."
	9	So at this station group, and others like
	10	it, who do you suppose is trying to get the
	11	best stories to serve the community, especially
	12	for those important issues that lack the flash
	13	and opportunity for self-promotion?
	14	Bigger is not better.
	15	And at this same Tampa television station
	16	and at other stations I assure you, I'm not
	17	picking on just this one pressure from
	18	corporate offices to increase profits have led
	19	to news directors being judged no longer
,	20	primarily on the quality of the stations
	21	journalism, now we are scored 25 out of 100
	22	points for overall delivery of brand
,	23	ambassadorship and defining moments and
	24	supporting the brand within reporter packages,
93	25	15 points for presenting the anchorman's

	1	perspective, showing him or her as the radio
	2	station's brand ambassador at least once in
	3	every newscast.
	4	They give bonus points for
	5	well-showcasing the station's talent, and they
	6	take away points whenever there's a significant
	7	missed opportunity to showcase the station's
	. 8	brand.
	9	Now, nowhere on this score sheet that I
	10	obtained do news managers in this whole outfit
	11	get any points anymore for selecting relevant
	12	and important subjects, for journalistic
	13	enterprise or for good judgment. And nothing
	14	rewards accuracy and fairness.
	15	At too many stations, now, we don't
	16	succeed anymore by being good journalists
	17	serving the viewer. We're brand ambassadors to
	18	help sell an image (Applause) largely
•	19	unrelated to substance and the quality of our
٠	20	reporting. (Applause.)
	21	Yes, journalists and their managers could
	22	take a stronger stand inside their own
	23	companies, but it doesn't seldom happens,
	24	because speaking up sometimes leaves you out.
94	25	And in closing, might I urge you and your
	1	staffs to seriously investigate these matters.
n	2	If you're going to allow fewer and fewer to
	3	control more and more, please, honor your own
	4	obligation and duty to assure that these
	5	licensees are of sufficient character to Page 77

6	control the airwaves.
7	When you're presented with evidence that
8	a journalist was pressured to deliberately
9	present false, distorted or slanted news and
10	fired when they threatened to tell you about
11	it as happened in my own case should it
12	take years just for you to acknowledge that
13	you're taking it seriously?
14	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you, sir.
15	MR. WILSON: Commissioners, bigger is not
16	better, not better for public service or
17	journalism. Thank very much. (Applause.)
18	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you very much, Mr.
19	Wilson.
20	As we now transition to the
21	public-comment period, I need to first
22	recognize the following three individuals who
23	were asked to speak for two minutes, at this
24	point in the program, in order to keep the
25	panel to a more manageable size.
1	At this point could Congressman Jim Davis
2	please come to the microphone. (Applause.)
3	CONGRESSMAN DAVIS: Commissioners, thank
4	you for being here. Thanks for the chance to
5	speak. I am not a congressman. I am a former
6	congressman, and I'm here to speak as a
7	citizen.
8	I spent eight years as a state official
9	here, ten years as a congressman. I'm now
10	practicing law with a law firm that does legal

95

11	Session 1 work for broadcasters, including Media General.
12	But I'm here today to express my own
13	views and the same views I expressed in
14	Congress. I oppose much of the relaxation of
15	ownership limits that this commission proposed
16	while I was in congress and actively fought for
17	those personally and successfully as a number
18	of the Energy and Commerce Committee.
19	As you have seen here in this room
20	tonight I'm sure you've seen this all over
21	the country there's a lot of people here
22	because they don't think their voice is being
23	heard.
24	There are a lot of people here who feel
25	let down, because they do not think we have had
1	an open-and-honest debate in this country about
2	the Iraq War and many other major issues.
3	(Applause.)
4	
	You have heard of certain ideas about how
5	You have heard of certain ideas about how to give more individuals the right to be heard.
5 6	
	to give more individuals the right to be heard.
6	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that
6 7	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has
6 7 8	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has had some benefits.
6 7 8 9	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has had some benefits.  I would strongly urge you to survey the
6 7 8 9 10	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has had some benefits.  I would strongly urge you to survey the many listeners and readers who will not have
6 7 8 9 10 11	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has had some benefits.  I would strongly urge you to survey the many listeners and readers who will not have time to be here tonight. And I know you will
6 7 8 9 10 11	to give more individuals the right to be heard.  I am here tonight to tell you that I think that the cross-ownership here in this community has had some benefits.  I would strongly urge you to survey the many listeners and readers who will not have time to be here tonight. And I know you will listen to all the speakers.

news for my taste, but still more -- in both Page 79

	17	quantity and quality in the news network that
	18	has experienced the conversion. I urge you to
	19	survey the viewers to find out for yourself.
	20	Secondly, one of the things I think we
	21	can all agree upon here tonight is less people
	22	are reading the newspaper. I believe that you
	23	should consider the benefits in competitive
	24	markets like this, particularly, where we enjoy
97	25	the benefit of the competition of ideas from
	1	dueling dailies to allow a newspaper to survive
	2	by combining it with a television station.
	3	I think that is important to preserve the
	4	future of the newspaper industry. Because many
	5	of the issues we will be debating not just
	6	the issues you'll be debating do not fit
	7	into 30 seconds, do not even fit into a
	8	two-minute news broadcasts.
	9	We want to preserve the written word and
	10	the creation of ideas that comes from competing
	11	newspapers and competing television and radio
	12	stations in communities like this. Thank you
	13	for the chance to be heard. (Applause.)
	14	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
	15	Monsignor Higgins.
	16	MONSIGNOR HIGGINS: Well, I am not any
	17	great expert on any of these things. But I'd
	18	just like to say that I agree completely with,
	19	large just doesn't mean best.
	20	I'd like things, basically, as far as
	21	possible to come from the bottom. I always

22	Session 1
22	remember the wisdom of one of the old people,
23	when I was young, telling me this, that most of
24	the big decisions in the church came from the
25 98	bottom. But, of course, many times they were
1	not listened to.
2	But then I'd like to think that the local
3	news, for example, is best handled by local
4	people. They are the ones, for example, that
. 5	know what's going on and that would know what's
6	best for their community.
7	The bigger we get, the farther away we
8	get from the center, and when we get away, the
9	less we're going to get of our own local news.
10	And it's very difficult, I know, in this day
11	and age.
12	And I'm very concerned about the small
13	person, the mom-and-pop stores disappearing.
14	I'm very concerned, for example, that the
15	little shops have disappeared, too, and that
16	the richer become richer, and the poorer become
17	poorer and that, basically, we have no
18	mom-and-pops (sic) at all.
19	It concerns me greatly. Because I want
20	people to want ownership, even though it may be
21	a small thing. When you're talking to
22	you've dealt with (Inaudible) in some way
23	and in dealing with your the newspapers, the

would ad to the members here that we have got Page 81

that it's a very difficult question, but I

local newspapers, all I can say is that I know

24

25

1

2	to, first of all, try and get our people back
3	to reading again, because very few of them are.
4	That starts with our schools. We have to
5	strive for them to even start reading the
6	newspapers. Because they don't read, and if
7	you don't read, well, that's that part taken
8	care of.
9	And the second part that we have to try
10	and do is that we put in the news, for example,
11	was it newsworthy or news for example, the
12	local news, that is the facts each and every
13	one of us the news as best we can that's
14	subjective.
15	And I know that it's a difficult one.
16	But I know that you'll try and do your best,
17	because democracy depends on the news in many
18	ways. Because we depend on you time to get
19	out to the public the different views, so that
20	we can make up our minds and tell you what to
21	do. (Applause.)
22	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you very much.
. 23	MONSIGNOR HIGGINS: Thank very much.
24	MR. SIGALOS: Mark Lunsford.
25	(No audible response.)
100	
1	MR. SIGALOS: Mark Lunsford.
2	(No audible response.)
3	MR. SIGALOS: All right. Now it's time
4	for the public-comment period of our program.
5	Before I review the ground rules, if you would
. 6	please I'd like to call out the first
	Dawa 83

7	names go to the nearest aisle microphone.
8	Greg Vawter, John Scott Duffy, Eric Land
9	Mark Adams and John Russell.
10	I would like to thank our panels very
11	much for their participation. (Applause.)
12	All right. Just go to the nearest-aisle
13	microphone. Okay. Here are the ground rules.
14	Everybody sees the time clock. We have a
15	two-minute time limit. We're going to follow
16	that quite strictly.
17	We have many, many people who've signed
18	up. Occasionally, I'll go over the number.
19	Some of you may recall the number where you
20	signed up, what order you were in. I'll go
21	over that from time to time. Again, we have a
22	two-microphones setup. This isn't
23	A VOICE: Is there a break?
24	MR. SIGALOS: There is a break scheduled
101	at 7:30. So, as much as we come towards that
1	time period, you know, just so you have an
. 2	idea that whether you might be speaking
3	before or after the break, just wanted to give
4	you a sense of that.
5	And we have our speakers just have one
6	mike. You can just remember, I just want to
. 7	bring you to the closest microphone. We'll go
8	in order most obviously, the closest
9	microphone.
10	A VOICE: call me by number?
. <b>11</b>	MR. SIGALOS: Oh, from time to time, I'l
12	call out the number. Right now I'm just going Page 83

	13	down names.
	14	Greg Vawter. (Applause.)
	15	MR. VAWTER: Thank you.
	16	Commissioners, my name is Greg Vawter.
	17	I'm a career public service television manager
	18	(sic.) Through my work I've taught many
	19	organizations and individuals to use media to
	20	express themselves. I have also organized
	21	productions for local schools and governments
	22	and nonprofits.
	23	And during my time here, I'll refer to
	24	two ideas, commodities for sale and economies
102	25	of scale. Commodities for sale allow
	1	broadcasting to be profitable.
	2	As you know, commercial stations
	3	advertise. But the products they tout are not
	4	the commodities of broadcasters, rather
	5	viewers and listeners are the commodities.
	6	The public's eyes and ears are sold to
	7	advertisers. And while that fact is often
	8	disturbing for citizens to hear, it's nothing
	9	new.
	10	But now the economies of scale are
, ,	11	driving large media conglomerates to gobble up
	12	as many broadcasting outlets as the Commission
	13	will allow. Consolidated companies operate at
	14	less cost per unit than smaller outfits,
	15	resulting in far greater profits for
	16	shareholders.
	17	But that's not so great for us citizens.

	18	Session 1 We need differing perspectives on our TVs and
	19	radios and in our newspapers so we can make
	20	more informed decisions in our democracy.
	21	We give the programmers their frequencies
	22	at no charge, so we and you as our
	23	
	24	representatives must not put their desire for
	25	profit above our need for diversity.
103	25	Please decide against allowing media
	1	companies to consolidate their business
	2	interests at the expense of America's choices
	3	among differing voices. Instead of letting a
	4	few conglomerates buy even more local media
	5	choices, give us more options in the voices to
	6	which we can (Inaudible.) Thank you.
	7	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you very much.
	8	John Scott Duffey.
	- 9	MR. DUFFY: My name is John Duffey. I
	10	was a broadcast news producer for 25 years
	11	until disabled by a heart attack.
	12	I've spoken at FDA, FCC and other
	13	hearings only asking the government to put
	1:4	human interests ahead of corporate interests.
	15	And I feel like I'm largely ignored.
	16	So, no more Mr. Nice Guy. Today I will
	17	demand: Quit relaxing broadcast news
	18	broadcast ownership rules. Roll them back and
	19	require more local control of our airwaves.
	20	Two weeks ago tornadoes ripped across
•	21	Tampa Bay. Clear Channel, the company owning
•	22	a lot of radio stations in this town,
	23	broadcast a warning during its 9:00 a.m. Page 85

	24	and another one at 9:30 during their newscast
104	25	on 97 WFLA, but nothing between them.
TOT		
	1	Even though Clear Channel promotes this
	2	station as the news leader, it failed to keep
	3	listeners updated for 25 minutes, the critical
	4	time this storm front passed through our
	5	community.
	6	They were fusing (sic) up the Sunday
	7	morning policy (sic) programming and a
	8	constant stream of commercials, because they
	9	failed to provide adequate staff for coverage,
	10	even though this storm had already killed many
•	11	people on the other side of the Gulf of
	12	Mexico, and anyone with half a brain knew a
	13	day earlier that we were going to get hit.
	14	When I complained to the Clear Channel
	15	local boss, he said they planned to install
	16	robotic devices. They had a similar system
	17	online five years ago in Minot, North Dakota,
	18	where they've got a lot of radio stations.
	19	They cut labor costs.
•	20	They failed to alert people that a train
	21	loaded with toxic chemicals derailed in the
	22	middle of the night. The disaster killed one
	23	person and injured many more. To this day,
,	24	Clear Channel still blames local law
105	25	enforcement for their failure.
103		
	1	Letting companies like these passes
	2	takes us to control more and more channels,
		Dago 96

putting profits above public health and safety 3 and you put lives at risk. 4 5 Please stop that now. You must require 6 at least half of all broadcast licenses in " 7 every market to go to local interests, local 8 control. Doing otherwise violates the public 9 trust you've vowed to us. Thank you. 10 (Applause.) 11 MR. SIGALOS: Thank you. Eric Land. 12 MR. LAND: Good evening. I'm Eric Land, 13 the chief operating officer of the Tampa Bay 14 Buccaneers. 15 In my role I see firsthand how the 16 combined operations of Media General, WFLA-TV, 17 the Tampa Tribune and TBO.com are working together delivering more news to the area than 18 19 they could have done alone. 20 ' If a Tampa Tribune reporter gets just a sports figure, she is just as likely to break 21 22 the story on the air or online in the 23 newspaper. And when that happens, I routinely 24 see an in-depth follow-up the next day in the 25 Tampa Tribune. Journalists take the position 106 1 the story belongs to the public, not to a 2 particular outlet. 3 I live in Tampa and care deeply about 4 local government. Media General's properties 5 have given a little more political coverage 6 and hard-hitting investigative pieces than 7 could ever be produced alone. Tampa residents have come to rely on Page 87

9	these three outlets, not only for analysis ir
10	truth in candidate statements and advertising
11	but as hosts for broadcast of debates of key
12	races (sic.)
13	Before my year and a half in the NFL, I
14	enjoyed a 32-year career in the TV industry,
15	first as a TV reporter, ending as
16	president/general manager at WFLA-TV, the
17	Media General TV station here in Tampa.
18	I helped launch the new center. I have
19	deep roots in the industry. My dad retired
20	from a 56-year career overseeing newspaper, T
21	and radio cross-ownership operations in
22	Gainesville, Ohio.
23	Media General and its local competitors
24	have continued to be the voice for the
107	voiceless and hold powerful accountable. In
1	an age of relentless media competition, I've
2	never seen efficiency be a replacement for
3	integrity.
4	Media General and other newspaper
. 5	companies put news first and foremost. Never
6	in my 32 years with them did I ever witness a
7	case where corporate headquarters dictated an
8	editorial addendum, reporting or content.
9	Elimination of network compensation,
10	indeed TV conversion expense and competition
. 11	from unregulated media put incredible
12	financial pressure to bear on TV stations and
13	news operations. Don't handicap the very
	•

	14	Session 1 institutions who bring free speech to a free
	15	society.
	16	Permitting companies who have owned
	17	heritage and deep commitment to own, jointly
	18	operate newspapers and stations in the same
	19	community in the best interests of the FCC
	20	guarantee the future for local news in
	21	communities of all sizes (sic.)
	22	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
	23	MR. LAND: Thank you.
	24	MR. SIGALOS: Mark Adams.
108	25	MR. ADAMS: My name is Mark Adams. The
700		
	1	FCC is required to act in the public interest,
	2	not in the interest of big business. A free
	3	flow of information (Applause) is
	4	necessary for our democracy to function.
	5	This is why our founders protected
	6	freedom of speech and the press. They
	7	understood that the public needs to know what
	8	our government is doing. They knew only an
	9	informed public can make sure that we were
	10	protected from tyranny.
	11	Yet the FCC is considering action that
	12	would allow for more media consolidation
	13	which, according to its own study, results in
	14	six-minutes less news per half-hour newscast.
	15	That's one-third less news coverage.
	16	Already most people feel that our news
	17	media does a poor job covering our government.
	18	They realize (Applause) that the media
u	19	does not cover issues thoroughly. And many Page 89

	20	know the media will cover up serious problems
	21	which the public should know about.
	22	For example, I represent candidates
	23	challenging the official results of the 2006
	24	elections for four members of Congress and
109	25	Florida's Governor. We had evidence showing
	1	that the official results took votes from
	2	Democratic and Independent candidates and gave
	3	them to Republicans. But there has been
	4	little coverage of this serious issue, even
	5	though there is abundant evidence that the
	6	official results produced by the secret
,	7	vote-counting computers have not been accurate
	8	in the last few elections throughout our
	9	country. (Applause.)
	10	There was little coverage (Applause)
	11	there was little coverage of the recent
	12	conviction of officials in Ohio for rigging
	13	the 2004 presidential election recount. If
	14	our media will not cover election fraud, then
	15	it's not interested in preserving our
	16	democracy.
i	17	A VOICE: You bet.
	18	MR. ADAMS: Commissioners, do you want to
	19	be remembered as someone who further stifled
	20	the flow of information and undermined
	21	democracy or as someone would acted to
	22	preserve the will of the press in our
	23	democracy.
	24	Thank you very much. Please choose

110	25	Session 1 wisely. (Applause.)
	1	MR. SIGALOS: Will the following
	2	people will the following people now please
	3	head to the microphone.
	4	Brandy Doyle, Louise Thompson, Pat Burke,
	5	Jane Acre, Brad Ashwell and Donna Reed.
	6	A VOICE: I just want
	7	MR. SIGALOS: Brandy Doyle, Louis
	8	Thompson sir?
	. 9	A VOICE: supposed to hand out a copy
	10	of Aaron Russo's Americans for Freedom packet.
	11	They asked me to offer copies to
	12	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you very much, sir.
	13	(Applause.)
	14	Again, Brandy Doyle, Louise Thompson, Pat
	15	Burke, Jane Acre, Brad Ashwell and Donna Reed,
	16	if you'd just come to the nearest microphone.
	17	And now Mr. John Russell.
	18	MR. RUSSELL. Thank you very much.
	19	My name is John Russell. I'm one of
	20	those congressional candidates which is
	21	contesting the results of the election for
	22	2006 (Applause) here in Florida, one of
	23	the elections contests that you've not heard
	24	about (sic.)
111	25	As the introductory speaker said, the
ı.	1	public owns the airwaves, and the public wants
	2	them back. (Applause.) George Orwell
	3	(Applause) George Orwell would roll over in
	4	his grave today if he were here to see what's Page 91

#### session 1

5	going on.
6	We're going to a place in this country
7	that we do not want to go, and it is a
8	consolidation of the corporate news media that
9	is going to take us there.
10	While efficiency is the rationale, one
11	must look at it's not about quantity of news
12	or news repeated endlessly over and over
13	again, much of it just about entertainment or
14	figures thereof, it is about the quality and
15	objectivity of the information that is
16	provided to the public so that they can make
17	quality decisions when they talk about making
18	decisions that are key to their life as well
19	as politics.
20	And what I have for you here today is
21	very cogent and must be considered. But when
22	we talked about the Tribune and being
23	grandfathered in, well, throughout the
24	elections they used Survey USA as a poll that
25	they pushed at least four times in the general
1	election.
2	And this was a poll that was conducted
3	using statistically irrelevant methodology.
4	And while we conducted our own poll using
5	Rastus in Research, which appears on the April
6	10th front-page edition of the Tampa Tribune,
7	Rastus in Research being in the 1 percent
8	efficiency.
9	Survey USA is not even mentioned. I rest

112

10	Session 1 my case. They will not even cite their own
11	poll when they talk about "Bad Lines, Dumb
12	Society" or "Polls Get It Right."
13	Your own poll, Tampa Tribune did not get
14	it right, and it was published in
15	(Inaudible) hurting people's right to know
16	what is true and objective.
17	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
. 18	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you very much.
19	MR. SIGALOS: Brandy Doyle.
20	MS. DOYLE: As a correspondent for the
21	Sarasota Harold Tribune, I'd like to talk to
22	you about the state of the newsroom in a world
23	of increasingly consolidated media ownership;
24	however, I've never actually been inside the
25	newsroom, except on the day my contract was
1	signed.
2	With no real competition, dailies like
3	the Harold Tribune cut corners by outsourcing
4	much of their local news coverage to
5	freelancers like myself.
6	I don't have a journalism degree or
7	training as a professional journalist. I get
8	no health insurance benefits or job security.
9	I have little profession contact with others
10	who work at the paper. I get no real feedback
11	from my editor. And I've never been asked to
12	write a second draft of a story.
13	In fact, I'm really discouraged from
14	providing coverage that's too in-depth or
15	controversial. I write for the community news

16	page.
17	My colleague told me that it was bake of
. 18	speed (sic.) But it's not just bake off, it's
19	done cooked off (Inaudible) end up here,
20	with the news section with stories which
21	could've been developed into informative,
22	meaningful pieces are relegated to the media
23	equivalent of junk food (sic.)
24	When a community group organizes around a
25 114	social issue, we usually cover it, but with
1	photos of people standing around holding
2	plaques, not with real research into the
3	problems for our community.
4	I want to add, the Harold Tribune, which
5	is a New York Times paper, is not a bad paper.
6	But, unfortunately it's a typical one. While
7	I can't speculate about the people who own
8	newspapers and TV stations, at the editorial
9	level, at least, I don't get the impression
10	that most people are actively trying to
11	squelch debate or suppress minority
12	viewpoints.
13	The problem is that uncovering and
14	investigating local issues just doesn't fit
15	into business model of today's media outlets.
16	with more consolidation it's only going to get
17	worse.
18	Don't lift the caps on media ownership.
19	The public interest isn't served by big
20	conglomerates that treat local news as just

		Session 1
	21	another product to be made as cheaply as
	22	possible. Thank you.
	23	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
	24	(Applause.)
115	25	MR. SIGALOS: Louise Thompson.
777		
	1	MS. THOMPSON: I'm Louise Thompson. I'm
	2	the executive director of the local
	3	public-access channels for Tampa and
	4	Hillsborough County and the Greater Tampa Bay
	5	Community Network.
	6	Although I've stayed there nine years,
	7	I've been working with a nonprofit group that
	. 8	took over those channels from the cable
	9	companies and have been fighting every step of
	10	the way to make sure that the public's voice is
	11	still available on the air.
	12	There would be no big crowds coming there
	13	to the public access station if their voices
	14	were being heard elsewhere. I'm with a group
	1.5	that wanted to do civic and community
	16	journalism in the face of what's happening in
	<b>17</b>	the media.
	18	With the largeness of the Tampa Tribune
	19	and other our places and the fact that they're
	20	interested, as they should be, in their
	21	corporate bottom line, there are less reporters
	- 22	available, less time for those reporters to be
	23	available to cover the kinds of issues that are
	24	important to us locally. There is no localism.

25

If it were not for the public access

1	channels or the community radio station, WMNF,
2	a lot of those issues would never, ever be
3	covered in the corporate (Inaudible.)
4	People that come to us, musicians who
5	cannot, because of Clear Channel's ownership
6	of eight or nine channels here in our
7	marketplace, cannot, like the musicians that I
8	grew up with, go to their local radio station
9	and get their music played.
10	And so the public access station, we play
11	local musicians' music overnight on our
12	community bulletin boards. Because they can't
13	get coverage someplace else. We have voted in
14	the darned wrong wrongest people in the
<b>15</b>	universe, because our reporters don't have
16	time to investigate half of them. I mean, if
17	the am I wrong here?
18	(Applause.)
19	We've voted, now, locally, nationally,
20	we've got the worst people in are not
21	are not covered well enough, nor the missions
22	of our environmentalists. Please keep the
23	cap. (Applause.)
24	MR. SIGALOS: Pat Burke.
25	MŞ. BURKE: Thank you. Good evening
1	Commissioners. Well, my name is Patricia
2	Burke. And for the past 23 years I've spent
3	working for a local cable company as their
4	government relations manager. I've also
5	served for ten years in the third largest city
	<b>-</b> 00

	6	Session 1 in the Pinellas County as a local elected
	7	official.
,	8	Having said that, I am here tonight to
	9	say that I'm in opposition to any loosening o
	10	media ownership rules. (Applause.) I know
	11	how corporate works, and I have some idea of
	12	how government works. And knowing this, I car
	13	tell you, this is a very bad idea.
	14	Corporate works for shareholders, and
	15	government works for the party. I am
	16	confident that you, as FCC members, will see
	17	this for what it is and not allow it to
	18	happen.
	19	One of our most cherished freedoms is
	20	knowing that news is being reported honestly
	21	and without prejudice. A free press is
	22	necessary for democracy. With any loosening
	23	of these rules, this could change, and
	24	certainly not for the good of the people.
118	25	The public is way too smart to want this
тто		
	1	change. And I thank you very much.
	2	(Applause.)
	3	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
	4	Jane Acre.
	5	MS. ACRE: Thank you Commissioners for
	6	being here. It's very important that you are
4	7	here.
•	8	My name Jane Acre. I've been a broadcast
	9	journalist across the country for more than 20
	10	years including, most recently, here in Tampa.
	11	As a medical reporter for Channel 10, I Page 97

	12	was frequently approached by public-relations
	13	professionals and CEOs, trying to convince me
	14	that their latest product deserved news
	15	coverage. They were usually very persuasive.
	16	When I hear from convergence supporters
	17	that sharing online radio and television
	18	newsrooms is a good thing, I have to disagree.
	19	Sharing one newsroom means that PR folks only
•	20	have to pass their information through one set
	21	of eyes.
	22	What if the product is defective?
	23	what if the marketer is in it for a quick
	24	financial hit at the public's expense?
<b>.</b> 19	25	What if PR is selling a flawed public
	4	71. 0
	1	policy?
	2	It's one-stop shopping for the market;
	3	but for the public, fewer eyes means less
	4	scrutiny for the products and the news and
	5	information.
	6	When I began as a radio news director in
	7	1978, part of the job was filing the FCC
	. 8	community ascertainments, which was a sort of
	9	checks and balances to make sure we were
	10	serving the public. That was then. Today
	11	there are no community ascertaintainments
	12	required; in fact, no news is required.
	13	I believe the FCC should return to those
	14	tougher mandates and remind broadcasters the
	15	use of the airwaves is a special privilege.
	16	(Applause.) Traditional business models need

17	Session 1 not apply to something so vital to democracy.
18	That is indecent.
19	And, Commissioners, you say the public
20	can file a complaint. In January of 2005, my
21	husband and I challenged the operating license
22	of the Fox-owned station right here in Tampa.
23	We have proven in court that top ranks of
24	management engaged in news distortion (sic.)
25 120	Resisting them cost us our jobs. More than
1	two years later we have yet to receive any
2	response from the FCC on the status of that
3	complaint. Meanwhile, the station continues
4	to broadcast business as usual.
, 5	Chairman Martin, if you ask the public to
6	help you regulate, do so. Work in the public
7	interest by regulating this precious and
8	limited commodity of the airwaves. Thank you.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. SIGALOS: Thank you.
11	MR. ASHWELL: Hi. My name is Brad
12	Ashwell, and I'm the consumer democracy
13	advocate with the Florida Public Interest
14	Research Group. We're a nonpartisan,
15	statewide, nonprofit public interest advocacy
16	group. We work on a number of different
17	issues.
18	And before I go to any of them, I just
- 19	want to thank you for coming. I can't express
20	how important it is that you're here,
21	exemplifying the importance and the gravity of
22	these issues to the Tampa Bay Area and Page 99

, you
eting
-
ırly,
's a
more
id we
_
7
t of
most
mely
у
· I
ound
ave a
oted,
tory.
to
ding
d less
get
truth.
ves